PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P320A	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/EP2005/050900	International filing date (day/month/year) 01 March 2005 (01.03.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 02 March 2004 (02.03.2004)	
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237			
Applicant EPFL ECOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FEDERALE DE LAUSANNE			

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis. 1(a).			
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.			
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.			
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:			
	Box No. I	Basis of the report		
1	Box No. II	Priority		
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opin applicability	nion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial	
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	1	
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under applicability; citations and	Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial desplanations supporting such statement	
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the inter	rnational application	
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on th	e international application	
4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).				
			Date of issuance of this report 05 September 2006 (05.09.2006)	
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland		ombettes	Authorized officer Ellen Moyse	
	Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 e-mail: pt05@wipo.int			
rorm P	CT/IB/373 (January 2004)		•	

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	REC'D 15 JUN 2005		
То:	PCTPO PCT		
see form PCT/ISA/220	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)		
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
PCT/EP2005/050900 01.03.2005	ng date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 02.03.2004		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national class C12Q1/48, C07D473/18	sification and IPC		
Applicant EPFL ECOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FEDERALE D	E LAUSANNE		
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: □ Box No. I Basis of the opinion □ Box No. II Priority □ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability □ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention □ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement □ Box No. VI Certain documents cited □ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of malling of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.			
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220) .		
lame and mailing address of the ISA:	Authorized Officer		
European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016	De Jong, B Telephone No. +31 70 340-2833		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/050900

-	Box	(No. I	Basis of the opinion	
_				
1.	With the	n regard languad	I to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in je in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	
		laliyua	oinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following ge , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
	a. ty	pe of m	aterial:	
		a se	quence listing	
] table	e(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b. for	rmat of	material:	
] in wi	ritten format	
		in co	mputer readable form	
	c. tim	ne of fili	ng/furnishing:	
		conta	ained in the international application as filed.	
		filed	together with the international application in computer readable form.	
		furnis	shed subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.	C	opies is	on, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto in filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ate, were furnished.	
4.	Additi	onal co	mments:	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/050900

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
	the entire international application,				
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 1-47 (in part)				
be	because:				
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):				
⊠.	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 1-47 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
	see separate sheet				
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
\boxtimes	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 1-43				
	the written form		has not been furnished		
			does not comply with the standard		
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished		
			does not comply with the standard		
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.				
	See separate sheet for further of	letail:	S		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/050900

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-47

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-47

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-47

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VI Certain documents cited

 Certain published documents (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.10) and/or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

see form 210

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/050900

Re Item III.

Present claims 1-43 relate to an extremely large number of possible compounds. Support within the meaning of Article 84 EPC and/or disclosure within the meaning of Article 83 EPC is to be found, however, for only a very small proportion of the compounds claimed. In the present case, the claims so lack support, and the application so lacks disclosure, that a meaningful search over the whole of the claimed scope is impossible. Furthermore the definitions of the group R1-A in various dependent claims is obscure because this group itself contains a R1 group. Therefore the scope of claims 1-43 is unclear. Consequently, the search can only be considered as comprehensive for the compounds insofar they are used for for transfering a label from the compounds to an AGT fusion protein.

Furthermore, claims 1-47 are so unclear that it is not possible to determine the scope of these claims. It is therefore not possible to determine with certainty whether these claims are novel and/or inventive:

In claim 1 group A is defined in terms of an object to be achieved. Due to this definition it is not possible which compounds are covered by the claims.

The application relates to methods for transferring a label to AGT fusion protein. In the application these labels are defined extremely broad and could in principle comprise any group. Furthermore the Markush formula in claim 1 is defined in such a manner that a label does not need to be present at all.

In dependent claims 3,23,30,37 the group R_1 -A is defined using a formula that contains (again) a group R_1 . This construction is not understood.

Re Item V.

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO 02/083937 A 24 October 2002

D2: DE 199 03 895 A (JOHNSSON KAI) 3 August 2000

D3: JUILLERAT, ALEXANDRE ET AL: "Directed Evolution of O6-Alkylguanine-DNA Alkyltransferase for Efficient Labeling of Fusion Proteins with Small Molecules In Vivo" CHEMISTRY &; BIOLOGY, 10(4), 313-317, 2003, XP002288298

D4: DAMOISEAUX R ET AL: "Synthesis and applications of chemical probes for human O6-alkylguanine-DNA alkyltransferase" CHEMBIOCHEM - A EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF CHEMICAL BIOLOGY, WILEY VCH, WEINHEIM, DE, vol. 2, no. 4, 2 April 2001 (2001-04-02), pages 285-287, XP001148325

D5: WO 97/20843 A 12 June 1997 D6: US 5 691 307 A (1997-11-25)

Documents D1-D6 seem to be novelty destroying for the claimed subject-matter.